J Plant Growth Regul (1987) 6 :183-191 Journal of



# Chemical Alteration in Wheat (Triticum aestivum) Shoot Induced by Mefluidide and Defoliation

T• H• Dao

Agricultural Research Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forage and Livestock Research Laboratory, El Reno, Oklahoma 73036, USA

Received March 2, 1987; accepted June 5, 1987

Abstract. Mefluidide [N-2(2,4-dimethyl-5-((trifluoromethyl)sul $f$ onyl)amino)phenyl)acetamide) was applied to winter wheat (Triticum aestivum) to determine the alterations in shoot chemical composition and the value of the changes to a wheat-based forage system in field and controlled-environment chamber experiments . Mefluidide, applied at rates between 0.1 and 0.25 kg/ha during full tiller stage (Feekes stage 4), slowed down the rate of cellulose deposition in wheat shoot and reduced neutraldetergent fiber fractions. Mefluidide decreased the rate of nitrogen decline with advancing maturity of treated plants when compared to untreated ones. Mefluidide also reduced cellulose deposition and maintained high nitrogen contents in regrowth shoot tissues in addition to the effect produced by mechanical defoliation. Mefluidide, applied during jointing stage (stage 5), also retarded wheat maturation as the chemical maintained shoot chemical characteristics equivalent to those of earlier stages of development. Mefluidide did not alter significantly shoot chemical composition when applied at boot stage (stage 10), compared to untreated wheat. Based on the experimental results, mefluidide may be a management tool to alter timing of plant development. The delay in maturation and maintenance of vegetative quality longer into the spring attained with mefluidide may suggest a beneficial role of the chemical in a dual-purpose wheat production system that favors forage utilization.

 $F_{\text{0.2}}$  and  $F_{\text{0.3}}$  of small-grain crops has long been utilized as a high-quality source of feed for small-grain crops has long been unified as a line of the contract of feed for ruminant animals. Winter wheat (Triticum *aestivum*) is an integral

Mention of a trademark, proprietary product or vendor does not constitute a guarantee or warranty of the excussion of either U.S. Department of Agriculture and does not imply its approval to the  $\alpha$  product by the U.S. Department of exerting products that may also be suitable.

component of forage-livestock production systems in an area of the Great Plains covering portions of Oklahoma, Texas, New Mexico, Colorado, and Kansas. Wheat is grazed during the winter months up to the onset of plant reproductive development in about mid-March in central Oklahoma. Animals are then removed from wheat fields, allowing floral initiation and grain production (Horn and Taliaferro 1977, Donnelly and McMurphy 1984) . Thus in such a dual-purpose cropping system, the economic return from wheat includes both the values of the grain crop and animal products. However, at the time of animal removal from wheat fields, there is a forage deficit, as warm-season grass pasture production is not sufficiently high to support grazing (Horn and Taliaferro, 1977). Use of mefluidide during the spring has been suggested in order to delay heading and slow down shoot dry matter accumulation when the surplus growth cannot be utilized in a forage grazing system (Dao  $1984$ , Schaffer and Marten 1986, Undersander 1986). Growth suppression and delay in plant development should also bring about the preservation of vegetative quality traits found in younger shoot tissues to be of value in a forage production setting. In addition, the effects of mefluidide, and of chemical growth retardants in general, should be distinguishable from the effects caused by defoliation, as defoliation results in regeneration of new and highly nutritious shoot tissues .

The objective of this study was to evaluate the role of mefluidide and chemical growth retardation of winter wheat in a dual-purpose cropping system. The effects of mefluidide on shoot chemical composition were determined, separated from those of mechanical defoliation, and assessed in the context of a forage-livestock-grain production system .

## Materials and Methods

### Field Experiments

Field plots (2.5  $\times$  5.0 m) were established on Canadian fine sandy loam (Udic Haplustolls) and Bethany silt loam (Pachic Paleustolls) in 1983 and 1984, <sup>10</sup> spectively. In October of each year, plots were seeded with Triumph  $64$ ,  $a^{f1}$ early-maturity, tall, hard-red winter wheat cultivar at rate of 100 kg/ha.  $\frac{dv}{dx}$ tween March and May of each growing season, mefluidide was applied at  $\mu^{\text{rev}}$ rates ranging from 0 to 0.25 kg/ha with a backpack sprayer delivering  $370 \text{ L/h}^2$ at 207 kPa. Mefluidide was applied at full tiller (Feekes stage 4), jointing (stage 5), and boot (stage 10) phenological stages (Large 1954) or on calendar dates of  $3/30/83$ ,  $4/24/83$ ,  $5/4/83$ ,  $3/30/84$ ,  $4/27/84$ , and  $5/8/84$ .  $\sigma$ a 30,80, 4/24/83, 3/4/83, 3/30/84, 4/27/84, and 3/8/84.

Duration and effects of mefluidide applied during full tiller stage on  $\frac{5\mu\sigma}{2.5\pi}$ chemical characteristics were determined in whole plant samples  $(0.5 \times 0.5)^{\frac{m}{2}}$ quadrats) obtained at approximately 2-week intervals. Upon collection, plants were separated into leaf and stem fractions and oven-dried at 65°C for 48 h. The dried materials were separately ground to pass a sieve with  $1-m<sup>th</sup>$ openings prior to chemical analysis. Measurements of neutral- and acid- $\frac{\partial \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{C})}{\partial \mathcal{E}}$ gent fiber (NDF, ADF) contents, cellulose, lignin, nitrogen contents, and "

 

Chemical constituent	Equation coefficient $(b_i)$	Wavelength $(\lambda)$	$r^2$
Neutral-detergent fiber			
	68.1	2432	0.93
	$-1572.3$	1934	
	3930.0		
	517.2	1904	
	1248.1	2102	
Acid-detergent fiber	9.7		
	$-409.2$	1906	0.94
	846.5	2486	
	$-4664.6$	2380	
	4602.6	1114	
Cellulose	15.9		
	$-519.6$	2178	0.95
	1784.3	2388	
	1139.7	2290	
	$-645.2$	2266	
Nitrogen	1.2		
	$-197.6$	1966	0.98
	$-198.6$	1162	
	$-52.0$	1928	
$h$ vitro dry-matter	29.5		
disappearance	2607.4	1416	0.87
	1519.2	2276	
	$-2046.3$	1920	
	2761	2200	
$\sim$			

Table 1. Relationships between log-transform of 1/reflectance (1/R) at selected wavelengths and specific chemical constituents of wheat shoot. Growth Regulation of Wheat<br>
Table 1. Relationships between log-transform<br>
Specific chemical constituents of wheat shoot<br>
Chemical Chemical Equation

Calibration equation:  $log (1/R) = b_0 + b_1\lambda_1 + ... + b_n\lambda_n$ .

 $vitro$  dry-matter disappearance (IVDMD) were performed using near-infrared Spectroscopy (Shenk et al, 1981) to assess the impact of mefluidide on plant chemical composition and advancing maturation. A total of 80 representative plant samples from both years, of varying growth stages and of all plant parts, were analyzed for fiber content (Goering and Van Soest, 1970), Kjeldahl nitrogen (AOAC 1975), and IVDMD according to a modified Tilley and Terry procedure (Monson et al. 1969). Least-squares multiple-regression technique was used to correlate chemical data to the log-transform of  $1/\text{reflectance}$  (1/R) at selected wavelengths; the goodness of fit of the predictive relationships is presented in Table 1. All chemical data were then determined by using reflectance data and these regression equations.

Efficacy of mefluidide as influenced by plant growth stage was evaluated on  $\frac{\text{Samples}}{\text{Samples}}$  collected from all plots on May 13, 1983, and May 21, 1984, or when wheat was in the milk stage (Feekes stage 10.2).

ence (LSD) procedures were used to detect and separate treatment differences Field plots were established in a randomized complete block design with <sup>our</sup> replications. Analysis of variance, regression, and least significant differ- $\mathcal{L}$  the 0.05 level of probability.

Chemical		1983			1984		
constituent	Rate (kg/ha)	4/15	4/27	5/13	4/24	5/08	5/21
Neutral-detergent	0	47.3	52.5	60.0	51.4	59.3	63.1
fiber $(\%)$	0.05	46.7	51.4	59.7	50.6	59.8	61.9
	0.1	45.3	50.2	56.9	49.3	58.2	60.7
	0.25	45.3	49.7	54.8	46.4	55.8	60.2
	LSD(5%)	1.8	1.8	2.1	2.1	1.3	
Cellulose (%)	0	18.7	23.1	27.1	22.0	27.1	27.2
	0.05	18.6	22.9	26.5	21.9	27.6	27.1
	0.10	18.3	22.1	25.1	21.7	26.9	27.4
	0.25	17.1	20.5	24.0	18.3	25.4	272
	LSD (5%)	1.0	1.2	1.2	$1.2^{\circ}$	1.3	NS.
Nitrogen $(\%)$	0	2.52	2.11	1.60	1.54	1.24	
	0.05	2.54	2.08	1.64	1.56	1.44	
	0.1	2.54	2.25	1.92	1.70	1.43	
	0.25	2.50	2.24	2.06	1.80	1.69	
	LSD(5%)	<b>NS</b>	0.07	0.13	0.07	0.05	NS

Table 2. Time course effect of mefluidide on selected wheat shoot chemical constituents, when applied on March 30 of 1983 and 1984

# Controlled-Environment Chamber Experiment

Bulk samples of Bethany soil were taken at the field site, air-dried, crushed and passed through a sieve with 2-mm openings. Samples of  $1.5 \text{ kg}$  soil  $w \in \mathbb{C}^e$ . placed in 25-cm ID plastic pots and seeded with 10 fungicide-treated kernels of Triumph 64. The pots were watered and arranged in controlled-environment chamber in a randomized complete block design with five replications. The chamber was maintained at 25°C day and 15°C night temperatures and a 16-h photoperiod. Three weeks after seeding, the pots were thinned to three plants of similar size. Mefluidide was applied at rates of 0, 0.1, and 0.25 kg/ha using  $a$ compressed-CO<sub>2</sub> sprayer at 187 L/ha 8 weeks after seeding. Two days  $\frac{\text{and}}{\text{and}}$ mefluidide application (day 61), half of the pots had wheat clipped to 2.5 cm above soil level. The same pots were defoliated again on days 74 and 89 after seeding. Dry weights and fiber and nitrogen analyses were performed as described previously.

## Results and Discussion

The general pattern of chemical changes with maturation of the untreated plant was increased in cell wall material deposition based on observations of in creased neutral-detergent fiber contents, particularly cellulose (Table 2), aciddetergent fiber, and lignin contents (data not shown). Neutral-detergent fiber analysis yielded an estimate of insoluble cell wall materials—i.e., cellulose, hemicellulose, lignin, and silica. The acid-detergent treatment extracted hemicellulose from wheat shoot samples in addition to cell constituents removed by a neutral-detergent solution. Shoot nitrogen content also declined with plant

$C$ hemical constituent	Growth <sup>b</sup> stage	Equation	r <sup>2</sup>
Neutral-detergent	Full tiller	$Y1 = 59.9 - 29.6X + 37.1X^2$	0.79
fiber $(\%)$		$Y2 = 61.4 - 1.4X$	0.11
	Jointing	$Y1 = 60.0 - 45.7X + 128.5X^2$	0.81
		$Y2 = 62.7 - 11.7X - 144.1X^2$	0.97
	<b>Boot</b>	$Y1 = 60.2 - 1.9X + 13.1X^2$	0.37
		$Y2 = 62.8 - 2.1X$	0.02
Cellulose $(\%)$	Full tiller	$Y1 = 27.0 - 20.9X + 34.7X^2$	0.86
		$Y2 \approx 27.2 - 0.25X$	0.11
	Jointing	$Y1 = 26.7 - 15.3X + 35.9X^2$	0.51
		$Y2 = 27.1 - 0.64X - 52.7X^2$	0.89
	Boot	$Y1 = 27.1 + 0.21X$	< 0.01
		$Y2 = 27.0 + 0.13X$	< 0.01
Nitrogen $(\%)$	Full tiller	$Yl = 1.55 + 3.69X - 6.43X^2$	0.79
		$Y2 = 1.15 + 1.03X - 2.87X^2$	0.41
	Jointing	$Y1 = 1.52 + 1.14X - 2.932X^2$	0.34
		$Y2 = 1.17 + 0.78X$	0.44
	Boot	$Y1 = 1.61 - 0.17X$	0.09
		$Y2 = 1.19 - 0.16X$	0.04
In vitro dry-matter	Full tiller	$Y1 = 61.78 + 18.27X - 48.44X^2$	0.53
disappearance (%)		$Y2 = 64.10 - 13.01X - 7.38X^2$	0.85
	Jointing	$Y1 = 62.34 + 33.9X - 104.53X^2$	0.79
		$Y2 = 64.0 - 25.94X + 227.80X^2$	0.92
	Boot	$Y1 = 62.55 + 4.79X - 29.08X^2$	0.09
		$Y2 = 62.51 + 2.14X$	0.03

Table 3. Effects of time of application and concentration  $(X)$  of mefluidide<sup>n</sup> on selected wheat shoot chemical characteristics, as measured on May 13, 1983 (Y1), and May 21, 1984 (Y2).

 $b_{\rm th}$   $\frac{1}{2}$   $\$ 

flate of application : full tiller, 3/30/83 and 3/30/84 ; jointing, 4/24/83 and 4(27184 ; boot, 5/4/83 and  $5/8/84$ .

age. The general loss of forage quality associated with advance in maturity has been observed in spring wheat, oats (Avena sativa), barley (Hordeum vul $g_{are}$ ), and triticale (*Triticum durum*  $\times$  *Secale cereale*) (Smith 1960, Cherney  $^{4nd}$  Marten 1982a).<br> $^{\alpha}$ <sup>Mefluidide reduced shoot neutral-detergent fiber content at rates between</sup>

Mefluidide reduced shoot neutral-detergent fiber content at rates between  $\frac{c_1}{10}$  and 0.25 and at 0.25 kg/ha in 1983 and 1984, respectively (Table 2). The decline indicated soluble cell contents removed by the detergent made up a greater proportion of the treated wheat forage as opposed to cell wall matereas. This suppressed neutral-detergent fiber deposition was accompanied by a reduction in shoot cellulose content throughout April and part of May of 1983 and on May 8 of 1984. The lower shoot fiber contents during that period were In fact equivalent to those of untreated wheat shoots at earlier dates, sug-Besting a delay in plant maturation. A slowdown in rate of decline of shoot  $n_{\text{th}}$  a delay in plaint maturation. A subviour in the content of  $n_{\text{th}}$  and 0.25 kg/ha,  $n_{\text{th}}$  $f(x)$  further supports that observation (Table 2).

ጉ.ī <sup>le 3</sup>. Mefluidide, applied during the jointing stage, can also effectively re-The data for mefluidide efficacy at later phenological stages are presented in

Defoliation treatment	Application rate (kg/ha)	Shoot dry matter (g/pot)
None	0	3.48
	0.1	3.31
	0.25	3.19
Yesa	0	2.70
	0.1	2.66
	0.25	2.57
	LSD(5%)	0.19

Table 4. Effect of mechanical defoliation and mefluidide on cumulative wheat shoot growth  $89$ days after seeding.

'Defoliated on days 61, 74, and 89 .

duce shoot NDF and cellulose, resulting in values equivalent to those found for the full tiller stage application . Reduction in cellulose deposition ranged from 7% to 13.8% of that of control plants for the 0.25 kg/ha rate. A high correlation was found between mefluidide rate and shoot fiber content. Similarly, plant nitrogen was maintained high relative to the mefluidide application rate. The chemical alteration in shoot quality is reflected in increased digestible matter (IVDMD) potentially recoverable by a grazing animal (Table 3). There was an apparent treatment-by-year interaction that could be attributed to differences in precipitation and temperature between the two growing seasons and to leaf rust in the spring of 1984. A precipitation deficit existed during May 1984, which could have shortened the length of the chemically induced delay in maturation. Plant response to mefluidide was attenuated in 1984, because in order to induce alterations in shoot chemical composition during jointing, as well as at other phenological stages, a rate of  $0.25$  kg/ha was required, whereas lower mefluidide rates were sufficient to produce an inhibitory effect on cell wall material deposition in 1983 .

Mefluidide did not significantly alter shoot chemical composition and thus the progress of plant maturation when applied at Feekes stage 10 (Table 3) . The efficacy of chemical growth retardant is growth stage-dependent and is  $f^e$ . duced with advancing maturity of targeted tissues. This finding concurred with the lack of an effect of mefluidide on wheat morphology at the boot stage  $(Da^0)$ 1987). However, we had previously found that mefluidide decreased grain yield by reducing average kernel weight and kernel number per spike. Further studies may be needed to assess the relationship between carbon allocation<br>during grain fill and late application of mefluidide. during grain fill and late application of mefluidide .

In a forage-grazing setting, new growth of defoliated wheat exhibits  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}$ able nutritional characteristics (Horn and Taliaferro 1977, Cherney and Marten 1982a,b). The high nutritional quality may even become problematic  $(Stewart)$ et al. 1981). Such defoliation responses must be separated from those induced by mefluidide. Cumulative shoot dry matter accumulation was reduced by  $d^{e}$ foliation with the schedule imposed in these experiments (Table 4). It was  $P^{0.5}$ tulated that the clipping frequency was high and reduced leaf area index as  $well$ as photosynthetic activity to suboptimal levels for sustained shoot growth.

Defoliation treatment and growth period	Application (kg/ha)	Equation	r <sup>2</sup>
Uncut	$\mathbf{0}$	$Y = -0.864 + 0.049X$	0.66
$d$ ay 61–89	0,1	$Y = -0.933 + 0.047X$	0.81
	0.25	$Y = -0.286 + 0.039X$	0.83
Clipped	$\theta$	$Y = -1.839 + 0.030X$	0.98
$d$ ay 61–74	0.1	$Y = -1.849 + 0.030X$	0.98
	0.25	$Y = -1.417 + 0.023X$	0.93
$C$ lipped	$\mathbf{0}$	$Y = -0.987 + 0.013X$	0.93
day 74-89	0.1	$Y = -1.046 + 0.014X$	0.94
	0.25	$Y = -0.819 + 0.011X$	0.98

Table 5. Effects of mefluidide on growth rate of uncut wheat between day 61 and day 89, and shoot regrowth rate of defoliated wheat between day 61-74 and day 74-89.

Table 6. Tiller count/pot  $(Y)$  as a function of time  $(X)$  as affected by mefluidide and mechanical defoliation.

Defoliation treatment	Mefluidide rate (kg/ha)	Equation	, 2
$N_{\text{One}}$	0	$Y = 0.444 \times 0.259X - 0.001X^2$	0.58
	0.1	$Y = 0.129 + 0.282X - 0.001X^2$	0.63
Yesa	0.25	$Y = 1.104 - 0.250X + 0.007X^2$	0.84
	0	$Y = -0.222 + 0.332X - 0.002X^2$	0.75
	0.1	$Y = -0.098 + 0.367X - 0.002X^2$	0.76
	0.25	$Y = 0.059 + 0.299X - 0.001X^2$	0.54
	$^{4}$ Defoliated on days 61, 74, and 89.		
		Similar reduction in growth and vigor has been observed in western wheat-	

freed (Agropyron smithii Rybd.) and turfgrasses and has been associated with frequency and height of defoliation (Everson 1966, Krans and Beard 1985). In addition, mefluidide at 0.25 kg/ha reduced wheat dry matter accumulation by 10,9% in nondefoliated wheat and  $4.8\%$  for the biweekly defoliated plants. The

phytomass suppression was in agreement with field results (Dao 1984).<br>Although the cumulative growth reduction was not apparently large, the rate  $\alpha$  resough the cumulative growth reduction was not apparently large, the rate of resourch the rate of  $\alpha$ <sup>r</sup>"-growth was significantly reduced in the first 2 weeks after initial defolia  $v_{\rm ion}$  and mefluidide at 0.25 kg/ha rate (Table 5). The suppressive effect may have worn off after the second cutting. Defoliation also increased wheat tiller density (Table 6) because of reduced apical dominance and stimulated develop $f_{\text{ne}}$  (ration b) because of required appear dominance  $f_{\text{one}}$ . effect, mefluidide further enhanced tillering. The increase was most noticeable at the 0.25 kg/ha rate in the nondefoliation treatment, where the demand for photoassimilate for regrowth is lower than that required in the defoliated treatment. Regrowth tissues had lower cellulose and higher nitrogen contents than unelipped tissues in control plants. Mefluidide further delayed forage cellulose deposition and nitrogen decline, as expected with the chemically induced development delay (Table 7).

Chemical constituent	Mefluidide rate (kg/ha)	Shoot $(\%)$		Regrowth tissue $(\%)$	
		day 61	day 89	day $61 - 74$	day $74 - 89$
Cellulose	0	24.8	31.5	28.0	26.8
	0.1	25.3	30.2	28.2	26.2
	0.25	25.5	29.1	27.5	25.6
	$LSD(5\%)$	1.2	1.0	0.1	1.0
Nitrogen	0	1.38	0.98	2.98	3.22
	0.1	1.38	0.99	3.09	3.18
	0.25	1.38	0.93	3.25	3.31
	LSD(5%)	0.10	0.06	0.09	0.12

Table 7. Effect of mefluidide and mechanical defoliation on selected chemical constituents of wheat shoot and regrowth tissues on days 61, 74, and 89.

From the experimental findings, it appears that mefluidide may be a management tool to alter the timing of winter wheat development. The changes in forage chemical composition would be beneficial to a forage-grazing produc- $\mu$  and system. A less mature forage, low in fiber and high in nitrogen contents more digestible to a grazing animal, could be made available throughout the month of March and part of April, when anthesis would normally be in progress. Animal performance data indicated that an average weight gain of  $0.84$ kg/day of cattle on wheat during this period is attainable (Oltjen and Bolsen 1980). Although the loss in dry-matter production and the trade-off in grain yield potential (Dao 1987, Dunphy et al. 1982) must be weighed against the gain in quality, forage availability, during a time when it would normally be noneal istent and when animal grazing needs and marketable weight gains are critical, may outweigh the aforementioned shortcomings .

Acknowledgments. The author wishes to acknowledge the assistance of Diem T. Dao in the  $\frac{d^{12}n}{dx^2}$ processing and manuscript preparation. The technical assistance of R. D. Meyer in the conduct of these experiments is acknowledged . Appreciation is also extended to 3M Company for kindlY furnishing mefluidide samples used in this study.

#### References

- Association of Official Analytical Chemists (1975) Nitrogen. In: Horwitz W (ed) Official methods of analyses of the Association of Official Analytical Chemists. AOAC, Washington, pp 15-11
- Cherney JH, Marten CG (1982a) Small grain crop forage potential. 1. Biological and chemical determinants of quality and yield. Crop Sci 22:227-231
- Cherney JH, Marten CG (1982b) Small grain crop forage potential. 2. Interrelationships among biological, chemical, morphological, and anatomical determinants of quality . Crop Sci 22 :240- 245
- Dao TH (1984) Effects of mefluidide on the chemical composition of wheat forage, grain and Yie ld components. Weed Sci Soc Am Abstr 111–11.
- Dao TH (1987) Growth response of wheat *(Triticum aestivum)* to mefluidide. Appl Agric Response  $2:140 - 131$
- Donnelly KJ, McMurphy WE (1984) Cultural practice for maximizing forage production in wheat In: Horn G (ed) Proceedings of the National Wheat Pasture Symposium. Oklahoma Agric Exp Sin Misc Pub No . 115, pp 3-22
- banphy DJ, McDaniel ME, Holt EC (1982) Effect of forage utilization on wheat grain yield . Crop  $\frac{1}{2}$   $\frac{1}{2}$
- liverson AC (1966) Effects of frequent clipping at different stubble heights on western wheatgrass
- Glenn S, Rieck CE, Ely DG, Bush LP (1980) Quality of tall fescue forage affected by mefluidide. J<br>Glenn S, Rieck CE, Ely DG, Bush LP (1980) Quality of tall fescue forage affected by mefluidide. J Agric Food Chem 28 :391-392
- Goering HK, Van Soest PJ (1970) Forage fiber analyses. USDA Agric Handbook No. 379, p 20
- Morn FP, Taliaferro CM (1977) Existing and potential systems of finishing cattle on forages or limited grain rations in the semi-arid southwest. In: Stuedman JA, Huffman DL, Purcell JC, Walker OL (eds) Forage-fed beef: Production and marketing alternatives in the South. South Coop Ser Bull 220 :401-418
- **Krans JV, Beard JB (1985) Effects of clipping on growth and physiology of 'Merion' Kentucky** bluegrass. Crop Sci 25:17-20
- $\frac{L_{\text{target}}}{2}$  EC (1954) Growth stages in cereals. Illustration of the Feekes' scale. Plant Physiol 3:128--129
- Marten GC, Halgerson TL, Cherney J H (1983) Quality prediction of small grain forages by near-infrared reflectance spectroscopy . Crop Sci 23 :94-96
- Monson WG, Lowrey RS, Forbes 1 Jr (1969) In vivo nylon bags vs two-stage in vitro digestion: Comparison of two techniques for estimating the dry matter digestibility of forages . Agron J
- Nafziger ED, Wax LM, Brown CM (1986) Response of five winter wheat cultivars to growth regulators and increased nitrogen . Crop Sci 26 :767-770
- Oltjen JW, Bolsen KK (1980) Wheat, barley, oat and corn silages for growth steers. J Anim Sci<br>51:958-965
- Schaffer CC, Marten GC (1986) Effects of mefluidide on cool-season perennial grass forage yield and quality, Agron J 78:75-79
- $\frac{S_{\text{max}}}{S}$  JS, Linda 1, Hoover MR, Westerhaus MO (1981) Description and evaluation of a near-infrared reflectance spectrocomputer for forage and grain analysis . Crop Sci <sup>21</sup> :355-358
- Smith D (1960) Yield and chemical composition of oats for forage with advance in maturity. Agron  $3.52:637-639$  $1 - 5$
- $\sim$   $\frac{3}{4}$  Grunes DL, Mathers AC, Horn FP (1981) Chemical composition of winter wheat
- Undersander DJ (1986) Effect of mefluidide on growth and heading of Triticum aestivum. J Plant
- Yeang HY, Hillman JR (1984) Ethylene and apical dominance. Physiol Plant 60:275–282